UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/520,744	08/17/2005	Yosuke Egawa	2005-0010A 2806			
	7590 01/24/2007 I, LIND & PONACK, L.I	EXAMINER				
2033 K STREE	•	CHEN, VIVIAN				
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	1773				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
3 MO	NTHS	01/24/2007	PAP	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/520,74	14	EGAWA, YOSUKE			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Vivian Che		1773			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAILING DATES IN THE STATE OF STATES IN THE PROPERTY OF STATES IN THE	ATE OF TH 36(a). In no eve will apply and wil , cause the appli	AIS COMMUNICATION ant, however, may a reply be timule expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 No	ovember 20	<u> 206</u> .				
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[_]	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims				-		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 21-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 21-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	wn from cor					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		•				
-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		-	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	• •		_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		•		
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	·	5) Notice of Informal Pa		52)		

Application/Control Number: 10/520,744 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20, 27-32 have been cancelled by Applicant.

- 2. For the purposes of this Office Action, the term "forming" is deemed to refer to the shaping of plastic pieces. (See "forming" entry in POLYMER TECHNOLOGY DICTIONARY)
- 3. The indicated allowability of claims 21-26 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered copending Application (allowed). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).6

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 21-26 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10/595,261 (allowed).

Application/Control Number: 10/520,744 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the copending Application claims a method of forming a multilayer laminate containing at least two layers, each comprising a polylactic acid and a polyester with the recited melting temperature and glass transition temperature (thereby meeting the recitation of a first layer comprising a polylactic acid polymer and a second layer comprising a non-polylactic acid polymer (i.e., a polyester)), wherein at least one layer containing polylactic acid has a crystallinity less than 20%, and wherein the forming takes place above the melting point of the polyester component.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a biodegradable polyester as the polyester component in the films of the copending Application in order to maintain the overall degradability of the laminate. It is conventional to not orient films intended for thermoforming applications.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's Amendments.

Art Unit: 1773

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivian Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney, can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

The General Information telephone number for Technology Center 1700 is (571) 272-1700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 18, 2007

Vivian Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773